arXiv:1510.03582v2 [hep-th] 18 Jan 2016

January 19, 2016

$E_{7(7)}$ invariant non-extremal entropy

G. Compère[1], **V. Lekeu**[2]

Université Libre de Bruxelles and International Solvay Institutes
CP 231, B-1050 Brussels, Belgium

Abstract

The entropy of generic non-extremal dyonic black holes in the STU model has been shown to admit a remarkably universal form. The missing invariant in the formula was recently identified by Sárosi using the formalism of quantum entanglement as well as a higher dimensional embedding of the U-duality group. Here, we express the non-extremal black hole entropy in the STU model in terms of U-duality covariant tensors. We then provide the extension to the most general non-extremal black hole of ungauged $\mathcal{N}=8$ supergravity using $E_{7(7)}$ invariants. We also conjecture a generalization for ungauged $\mathcal{N}=2$ supergravity coupled to vector multiplets with arbitrary cubic prepotential. The most general rotating dyonic black hole solution of the STU model with all scalar moduli turned on is provided in an appendix.

[1]e-mail: gcompere@ulb.ac.be
[2]e-mail: vlekeu@ulb.ac.be

Contents

1 Introduction and summary **2**

2 STU model and triality **4**
2.1 Covariant tensors 5
2.2 Construction of invariants 7
2.3 Reformulation in a $SL(6,\mathbb{R})$ embedding 8
2.4 The F-invariant 10

3 $\mathcal{N}=8$ supergravity and $E_{7(7)}$ **10**
3.1 Preliminary: the $\mathfrak{e}_{7(7)}$ algebra 11
3.2 Symplectic and quartic invariants 12
3.3 Construction of additional invariants 13
3.4 Embedding of the STU model 15
3.5 The F-invariant 17

4 $\mathcal{N}=2$ supergravities and dualities **17**
4.1 Covariant tensors 18
4.2 Construction of invariants 19
4.3 The F-invariant 20

A General non-extremal black hole with moduli **21**

B $\mathfrak{so}(8)$ generators Γ^{ij} **23**

1 Introduction and summary

Resolving the black hole microstates which underlie the black hole entropy is a major challenge of theoretical physics and of string theory in particular. So far, only supersymmetric black holes have been microscopically counted [1, 2]. Such counting takes place outside of the gravitational regime. No counting is available directly in the gravitational regime, which would otherwise allow to resolve the black hole singularity and help detail the properties of the horizon. We are therefore far from understanding black holes microscopically, especially out of the supersymmetric regime.

It has been argued that the four-dimensional ungauged $\mathcal{N}=8$ supergravity is the simplest theory of quantum gravity [3] due notably to enhanced symmetries [4] and loop cancellations [5]. A natural place to investigate the microscopic physics of non-extremal black holes is therefore in such a constrained theory where there is much more control than for the poorly quantum-controlled Schwarzschild or Kerr black hole of Einstein gravity. More generally, black holes in $\mathcal{N}=2$ supergravity already enjoy some enhanced

symmetries and loop cancellations and also deserve close study. Much work has been concentrated so far on extremal BPS or non-BPS black holes. In this paper we take one small step in the characterization of black holes away from extremality by writing down the entropy formula for the most general black hole of the STU model, a $\mathcal{N}=2$ supergravity with cubic prepotential, its extension to $\mathcal{N}=8$ supergravity and other $\mathcal{N}=2$ supergravities with cubic prepotential.

It has been suggested some time ago that non-extremal black holes are in correspondence with a typical state of strings and D-branes with the same charges of the black hole, with left and right moving excitations [6, 7]. In its most coarsed grained description, the black hole can be modelled by a single effective string. Its entropy then takes the form

$$S = 2\pi(\sqrt{N_L} + \sqrt{N_R}) \tag{1}$$

where $N_{L,R}$ are the left and right moving excitation levels. While it is hard to make this correspondence precise, it is puzzling that the entropy of the most general black hole of the STU model precisely takes the form (1) with [8]

$$N_L = F(M, Q_I, P^I, z_i^\infty) + \Delta(Q_I, P^I), \tag{2}$$
$$N_R = F(M, Q_I, P^I, z_i^\infty) - J^2. \tag{3}$$

Here, most ingredients are well understood: Q_I, P^I are the electromagnetic charges, J is the angular momentum, M the mass and z_i^∞ are the moduli at infinity. The quantity $\Delta(Q_I, P^I)$ is the Cartan quartic invariant which only depends upon the electromagnetic charges. The quantity F is another invariant under U-dualities which was left unidentified in [8, 9]. Its value is however well-understood at extremality for both branches of regular extremal black holes. The fast rotating extremal black holes admit $F = J^2$ and the slow rotating extremal black holes admit $F = -\Delta$. The entropy then reduces to the two familiar expressions which are only functions of the quartic invariant and the angular momentum. Away from extremality, the attractor mechanism does not apply and the entropy becomes a function of the moduli which is captured by the F-invariant.

In a recent paper [10], Sárosi was able to conveniently write the F-invariant as a polynomial expression in terms of triality invariants of the STU model. In general, the F-invariant is not a polynomial in terms of the charges at infinity, as illustrated by explicit subcases [9]. The strategy used by Sárosi was instead to write the invariant in terms of scalar charges, which appear as the first subleading term in the asymptotic expansion of the scalar fields at radial infinity (the leading order being the moduli). The complexity of the invariant is therefore reduced thanks to these auxiliary variables which absorb most of the algebraic complexity. We checked independently that the manifest triality invariant formula proposed in [10] for the STU model matches with the entropy formula written in parametric form originally derived in [8]. In [10], the F-invariant was however written in terms of tensors covariant with respect to the $SL(6, \mathbb{R})$ embedding of the triality group. Here, we will rewrite this invariant in terms of tensors covariant under triality without

any additional embedding. We will then use this invariant to derive the entropy of the generic black hole of $\mathcal{N}=8$ supergravity.

The STU model is a consistent truncation of $\mathcal{N}=8$ supergravity [11, 12], as reviewed in [9]. In fact, the generic non-extremal black hole of the STU model can be used as a seed for the generic non-extremal black hole of $\mathcal{N}=8$ supergravity upon acting with U-dualities [13,14]. Therefore, once the black hole entropy is derived in a triality invariant form for the STU model, one only needs to understand how to generalize these invariants to $E_{7(7)}$ invariants. A proposal for such a map was provided in [10]. This proposal rests on the existence of a matrix $\mathcal{R}$ transforming under the adjoint of $E_{7(7)}$ such that when restricted to the STU duality frame, it obeys $\mathrm{Tr}(\mathcal{R}^2)=\mathrm{Tr}(\tilde{R}^2)$ and $\mathrm{Tr}(\mathcal{R}^4)=\mathrm{Tr}(\tilde{R}^4)$ where $\tilde{R}$ is the corresponding matrix of the STU model. In the STU model, one readily obtains that $\mathrm{Tr}(\tilde{R}^2)$ and $\mathrm{Tr}(\tilde{R}^4)$ are independent invariants. Now, this is not the case for $E_{7(7)}$: mathematicians have shown [15–17] that $\mathrm{Tr}(\mathcal{R}^2)$ and $\mathrm{Tr}(\mathcal{R}^4)$ are not independent invariants, as we will also cross-check in (81). Therefore, the generalization proposed in [10] lacks one independent invariant and the proposed $E_{7(7)}$ invariant formula cannot reduce to the invariant formula for the STU model. In this paper we derive the $E_{7(7)}$ F-invariant formula from first principles using the explicit embedding of the STU model in $\mathcal{N}=8$ supergravity, and in accordance with the representation theory for $E_{7(7)}$ [15–17].

Finally, it is interesting to generalize the non-extremal entropy formula to more generic $\mathcal{N}=2$ supergravities. In this paper, we will rewrite the invariants in terms of the prepotential formalism in the case of the STU model. This will allow us to propose a conjecture for the non-extremal black hole entropy of any ungauged $\mathcal{N}=2$ supergravity without hypermultiplets with cubic prepotential. We will finally comment on the simpler invariant formula for quadratic prepotentials.

Our paper is organized as follows. We start by deriving the F-invariant in the STU model in Section 2. After a review of the $E_{7(7)}$ algebra and the embedding of the STU model in $\mathcal{N}=8$ supergravity we derive the generalization of the F-invariant to $\mathcal{N}=8$ supergravity in Section 3. Finally, we rewrite the invariant of the STU model in the prepotential formalism in Section 4 and conjecture that the formula holds for more generic cubic prepotentials. Appendix A describes the most general rotating dyonic black hole solution to the STU model with all scalar moduli turned on. Appendix B contains some conventions.

2 STU model and triality

We will follow the conventions of [9]. The STU model is the four-dimensional ungauged $\mathcal{N}=2$ supergravity coupled to 3 vector multiplets with cubic prepotential $F=-X^1X^2X^3/X^0$. This model occurs as a consistent truncation of various supergravity theories. The bosonic content of the action is the metric, four gauge fields A^I_μ, $I=1,2,3,4$, and three complex scalar fields $z_i=x_i+iy_i=\chi_i+ie^{-\varphi_i}$, $i=1,2,3$. The U-duality group

of this supergravity is $SL(2,\mathbb{R})^3$ together with the finite group of permutations of three elements. This group is usually called the triality U-duality group or triality group in short.

In order to define U-duality invariants that are relevant for describing the F-invariant the first step is to write down covariant tensors in terms of the electromagnetic charges, scalar moduli and scalar charges which transform naturally under the U-duality group. We will do so in Section 2.1. We then describe the construction of invariants in Section 2.2, compare them with those of [10] in Section 2.3 and conclude with the result for the F-invariant in Section 2.4.

2.1 Covariant tensors

The electromagnetic charges are conveniently organized as the *charge tensor* $\gamma_{aa'a''}$, with components[3]

$$\begin{aligned} (\gamma_{000},\gamma_{111}) &= (P^4,-Q_4), & (\gamma_{100},\gamma_{011}) &= (Q_1,-P^1),\\ (\gamma_{010},\gamma_{101}) &= (Q_2,-P^2), & (\gamma_{001},\gamma_{110}) &= (Q_3,-P^3), \end{aligned} \tag{4}$$

where Q_I, P^I are the electromagnetic charges. If the reader is more familiar with the symplectic formalism, note that $Q_0 = -P^4$, $P^0 = Q_4$. The charge tensor transforms as

$$\gamma_{aa'a''} \mapsto (S_1)_a{}^b (S_2)_{a'}{}^{b'} (S_3)_{a''}{}^{b''} \gamma_{bb'b''} \tag{5}$$

under $SL(2,\mathbb{R})^3$, where the group elements $S_i \in SL(2,\mathbb{R})_i$ are

$$S_i = \begin{pmatrix} a_i & b_i \\ c_i & d_i \end{pmatrix} \qquad \text{(with } a_i d_i - b_i c_i = 1\text{)}. \tag{6}$$

The scalar fields parametrize the three coset matrices

$$\mathcal{M}_i = \frac{1}{y_i}\begin{pmatrix} 1 & x_i \\ x_i & x_i^2 + y_i^2 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} e^{\varphi_i} & \chi_i e^{\varphi_i} \\ \chi_i e^{\varphi_i} & e^{-\varphi_i} + \chi_i^2 e^{\varphi_i} \end{pmatrix}. \tag{7}$$

Each such matrix is invariant under the $SL(2,\mathbb{R})_j$ group with $j \neq i$ while they transform under $SL(2,\mathbb{R})_i$ as

$$\mathcal{M}_i \mapsto \omega_i^T \mathcal{M}_i \omega_i, \tag{8}$$

where $\omega_i \in SL(2,\mathbb{R})_i$ is given by

$$\omega_i = \begin{pmatrix} d_i & b_i \\ c_i & a_i \end{pmatrix} \qquad \text{(with } a_i d_i - b_i c_i = 1\text{)}. \tag{9}$$

[3]The charge tensor defined in (6.14) in [9] was incorrect since it does not transform covariantly under $SL(2,\mathbb{R})^3$ transformations. With the present correction, no other formula of [9] is affected.

Notice that $\omega_i \neq S_i$. In fact, defining $\sigma = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix}$, we have

$$\omega_i = \sigma(S_i)^{-1}\sigma. \tag{10}$$

Since we aim at finding covariant tensors, it is therefore convenient to first define new coset matrices as

$$\widetilde{\mathcal{M}}_i = \sigma \mathcal{M}_i \sigma = \frac{1}{y_i} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & -x_i \\ -x_i & x_i^2 + y_i^2 \end{pmatrix} \tag{11}$$

that transform as

$$\widetilde{\mathcal{M}}_i \mapsto (S_i^{-1})^T \widetilde{\mathcal{M}}_i S_i^{-1}. \tag{12}$$

Such a coset matrix is known to admit the asymptotic expansion

$$\widetilde{\mathcal{M}}_i = \widetilde{\mathcal{M}}_i^{(0)} + \frac{\widetilde{\mathcal{M}}_i^{(1)}}{r} + \mathcal{O}\left(\frac{1}{r^2}\right). \tag{13}$$

Here $\widetilde{\mathcal{M}}_i^{(0)}$ encodes the scalar moduli at infinity z_i^∞ while $\widetilde{\mathcal{M}}_i^{(1)}$ encodes the scalar charges Σ_i, Ξ_i defined as

$$\varphi_i = \varphi_i^\infty + \frac{\Sigma_i}{r} + \mathcal{O}(r^{-2}), \qquad \chi_i = \chi_i^\infty + \frac{\Xi_i}{r} + \mathcal{O}(r^{-2}). \tag{14}$$

We define the *dressed scalar charge tensor* as

$$R_i = (\widetilde{\mathcal{M}}_i^{(0)})^{-1} \widetilde{\mathcal{M}}_i^{(1)}. \tag{15}$$

This tensor is invariant under $SL(2,\mathbb{R})_j$ with $j \neq i$ and transforms under $SL(2,\mathbb{R})_i$ as

$$R_i \mapsto S_i R_i S_i^{-1}. \tag{16}$$

For trivial scalar moduli (asymptotically flat boundary conditions), we have

$$\widetilde{\mathcal{M}}_i^{(0)} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \qquad R_i = \begin{pmatrix} \Sigma_i & -\Xi_i \\ -\Xi_i & -\Sigma_i \end{pmatrix}. \tag{17}$$

Since the $SL(2,\mathbb{R})^3$ transformations have a non-trivial action on the scalar fields, U-dualities do not preserve trivial scalar moduli and will therefore not preserve the simple form (17).

Finally, we also have the invariant tensor for each copy of $SL(2,\mathbb{R})_i$

$$\varepsilon = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \tag{18}$$

that satisfies

$$\Lambda^T \varepsilon \Lambda = \Lambda \varepsilon \Lambda^T = \varepsilon \tag{19}$$

for any Λ in $SL(2,\mathbb{R})$.

Let us now summarize our ingredients and their transformation laws under $S_1 \otimes S_2 \otimes S_3 \in SL(2,\mathbb{R})^3$, in index notation. To avoid notational clutter, we write $\widetilde{\mathcal{M}}_i^{(0)} = M_i$ from now on. We have the following objects:

- charge tensor $\gamma_{aa'a''} \mapsto (S_1)_a{}^b (S_2)_{a'}{}^{b'} (S_3)_{a''}{}^{b''} \gamma_{bb'b''}$;
- asymptotic coset tensors $(M_i)^{ab} \mapsto (M_i)^{cd} (S_i^{-1})_c{}^a (S_i^{-1})_d{}^b$;
- dressed scalar charge tensors $(R_i)_a{}^b \mapsto (S_i)_a{}^c (R_i)_c{}^d (S_i^{-1})_d{}^b$.

We can also use the invariant epsilon tensor ε^{ab}.

2.2 Construction of invariants

To build triality invariants, we proceed in two steps.

1. First, we make $SL(2,\mathbb{R})^3$ invariants by contracting all indices, with the constraint that only indices corresponding to the same $SL(2,\mathbb{R})$ can be contracted together.
2. Second, we implement invariance under permutations of the three $SL(2,\mathbb{R})$ factors by summing the expression with all others obtained by permuting its different $SL(2,\mathbb{R})$ internal indices. The result is then automatically invariant under triality. In general there are 6 terms but symmetries might reduce them to 3 or only 1 term. For example, from the $SL(2,\mathbb{R})^3$-invariant expression

$$\varepsilon^{ab} \varepsilon^{a'b'} M_3^{a''b''} \gamma_{aa'a''} \gamma_{bb'b''} \tag{20}$$

we make

$$\left(M_1^{ab} \varepsilon^{a'b'} \varepsilon^{a''b''} + \varepsilon^{ab} M_2^{a'b'} \varepsilon^{a''b''} + \varepsilon^{ab} \varepsilon^{a'b'} M_3^{a''b''} \right) \gamma_{aa'a''} \gamma_{bb'b''}. \tag{21}$$

There are only three terms because the permutations of two ε^{ab} tensors give identical terms.

We define the degree as follows: the mass, NUT charge, electromagnetic charges and scalar charges have degree 1 while the moduli have degree 0. Therefore, M_i^{ab} and ε^{ab} have degree 0 while $\gamma_{aa'a''}$ and $(R_i)_a{}^b$ have degree 1. Inspection reveals that the F-invariant is a homogeneous function of degree 4. Restricting to degree ≤ 4, we find the following independent invariants:

- Degree 1:

$$M,\ N. \tag{22}$$

- Degree 2:

$$L_1 = M_1^{ab} M_2^{a'b'} M_3^{a''b''} \gamma_{aa'a''} \gamma_{bb'b''}, \tag{23}$$

$$L_2 = \frac{1}{3} \left(\mathrm{Tr} R_1^2 + \mathrm{Tr} R_2^2 + \mathrm{Tr} R_3^2 \right). \tag{24}$$

- Degree 3:

$$C_1 = \frac{1}{3} \sum \varepsilon^{ac} R_{1c}{}^{b} \varepsilon^{a'b'} \varepsilon^{a''b''} \gamma_{aa'a''} \gamma_{bb'b''}, \tag{25}$$

$$C_2 = \frac{1}{3} \sum M_1^{ac} R_{1c}{}^{b} M_2^{a'b'} M_3^{a''b''} \gamma_{aa'a''} \gamma_{bb'b''}. \tag{26}$$

- Degree 4:

$$\Delta = \frac{1}{32} \varepsilon^{ac} \varepsilon^{a'b'} \varepsilon^{a''b''} \varepsilon^{bd} \varepsilon^{c'd'} \varepsilon^{c''d''} \gamma_{aa'a''} \gamma_{bb'b''} \gamma_{cc'c''} \gamma_{dd'd''}, \tag{27}$$

$$\Delta_2 = \frac{1}{96} \sum M_1^{ac} \varepsilon^{a'b'} \varepsilon^{a''b''} M_1^{bd} \varepsilon^{c'd'} \varepsilon^{c''d''} \gamma_{aa'a''} \gamma_{bb'b''} \gamma_{cc'c''} \gamma_{dd'd''}, \tag{28}$$

$$\Delta_3 = \frac{1}{96} \left(\mathrm{Tr} R_1^4 + \mathrm{Tr} R_2^4 + \mathrm{Tr} R_3^4 \right). \tag{29}$$

Here, each sum is over the three cyclic permutations of the $SL(2,\mathbb{R})$ indices. The familiar quartic invariant is Δ. Many more invariants can be formulated but we will not classify them here. This list will be sufficient to express the F-invariant below.

2.3 Reformulation in a $SL(6,\mathbb{R})$ embedding

In his recent paper [10], Sárosi constructed U-duality invariants using the embedding of the U-duality group into $SL(6,\mathbb{R})$. The $SL(2,\mathbb{R})^3$ transformations are expressed as $S \in SL(6,\mathbb{R})$ with

$$S = \begin{pmatrix} S_1 & & \\ & S_2 & \\ & & S_3 \end{pmatrix} \tag{30}$$

while the 6 permutations of the triality group are represented by block permutation matrices.

One starts from the pair of tensors

$$(\psi_1)_{aa'a''} = -\gamma_{aa'a''}, \qquad (\psi_2)_{aa'a''} = \widetilde{\gamma}_{aa'a''}, \tag{31}$$

where $\widetilde{\gamma}_{aa'a''}$ is obtained from $\gamma_{aa'a''}$ by electromagnetic duality:

$$\widetilde{Q}^I = P^I, \qquad \widetilde{P}_I = -Q_I. \tag{32}$$

We denote them as $(\psi_\alpha)_{aa'a''}$ with $\alpha = 1, 2$. One can then construct from $(\psi_\alpha)_{aa'a''}$ an antisymmetric $SL(6,\mathbb{R})$-covariant tensor $(P_{\psi_\alpha})_{ABC}$ $(A, B, C = 1, \ldots, 6)$ according to

$$(P_{\psi_\alpha})_{a+1,a'+3,a''+5} = (\psi_\alpha)_{aa'a''} \qquad (a, a', a'' = 0, 1). \tag{33}$$

The other components of P_{ψ_α} are either obtained from those by antisymmetry or are zero. It transforms as

$$(P_{\psi_\alpha})_{ABC} \mapsto S_A{}^{A'} S_B{}^{B'} S_C{}^{C'} (P_{\psi_\alpha})_{A'B'C'}. \tag{34}$$

Finally, one builds the four $SL(6,\mathbb{R})$-covariant tensors

$$(K_{\alpha\beta})^A_B \;=\; \frac{1}{2!3!}\epsilon^{AC_1C_2C_3C_4C_5}(P_{\psi_\alpha})_{BC_1C_2}(P_{\psi_\beta})_{C_3C_4C_5} \tag{35}$$

which transform as

$$K_{\alpha\beta} \mapsto (S^{-1})^T K_{\alpha\beta} S^T. \tag{36}$$

One can also construct a block-diagonal matrix R which contains the three copies of R_i^T where R_i, $i = 1, 2, 3$, is defined in (15). It transforms as

$$R \mapsto (S^{-1})^T R S^T. \tag{37}$$

Restricting to degree ≤ 4, Sárosi then found a list of independent invariants, which we relate to the invariants defined in Section 2.2 as

- Degree 1:

$$M,\ N. \tag{38}$$

- Degree 2:

$$\mathrm{Tr}(K_{12}) = -3L_1, \tag{39}$$
$$\mathrm{Tr}(R^2) = 3L_2. \tag{40}$$

- Degree 3:

$$\mathrm{Tr}(K_{11}R) = 3C_1, \tag{41}$$
$$\mathrm{Tr}(K_{12}R) = -3C_2. \tag{42}$$

- Degree 4:

$$\mathrm{Tr}(K_{11}^2) = -96\Delta, \tag{43}$$
$$\mathrm{Tr}(K_{11}K_{22}) = -96\Delta_2, \tag{44}$$
$$\mathrm{Tr}(R^4) = 96\Delta_3. \tag{45}$$

2.4 The F-invariant

The area over $4G$ of the outer and inner horizons of the general non-extremal black hole of the STU model (with NUT charge included for completeness) takes the form

$$S_{\pm} = 2\pi \left(\sqrt{F + \Delta} \pm \sqrt{F - J^2} \right) \tag{46}$$

where the F invariant is given in terms of the auxiliary parameters m, n, ν_1, ν_2 defined in [9] as

$$F = \frac{(m^2 + n^2)}{G^2}(-n\nu_1 + m\nu_2)^2. \tag{47}$$

In [10], this formula was matched after extensive and convincing numerical tests to the following formula written in terms of triality invariants built from the $SL(6, \mathbb{R})$ embedding of the triality group,

$$\begin{aligned} F &= M^4 + M^2N^2 + \frac{M^2}{12}\mathrm{Tr}K_{12} - \frac{M}{24}\mathrm{Tr}(K_{12}R) + \frac{N^2}{24}\mathrm{Tr}(R^2) \\ &\quad -\frac{N}{24}\mathrm{Tr}(K_{11}R) + \frac{1}{192}\left(\mathrm{Tr}(K_{11}^2) - \mathrm{Tr}(K_{11}K_{22}) - \frac{1}{2}(\mathrm{Tr}R^2)^2 + \mathrm{Tr}(R^4) \right). \end{aligned} \tag{48}$$

We checked independently that formulae (47) and (48) numerically agree for around a hundred random values of the parameters.

Using this and the dictionary above we obtain the formula for the F-invariant in terms of triality invariants which are functions of the mass, the NUT charge, the electromagnetic charges, the moduli and the scalar charges:

$$F = M^4 + M^2N^2 - \frac{M^2}{4}L_1 + \frac{N^2}{8}L_2 + \frac{M}{8}C_2 - \frac{N}{8}C_1 + \frac{-\Delta + \Delta_2 + \Delta_3}{2} - \frac{3}{128}(L_2)^2. \tag{49}$$

One can obviously set the NUT charge to zero, $N = 0$, to find physical configurations.

It is in principle possible to write the scalar charges in terms of the physical charges (the mass, the electromagnetic charges and the scalar moduli) but this process is algebraically complicated. For the example of the Kaluza-Klein black hole, an explicit formula in terms of the physical charges could be obtained but involved trigonometric functions [9]. For the four-charge Cvetic-Youm black hole [18], it has been stated in [10] that one encounters a fifth order polynomial in the inversion algorithm, which therefore cannot be solved by radicals.

3 $\mathcal{N} = 8$ supergravity and $E_{7(7)}$

The STU model is a consistent truncation of ungauged $\mathcal{N} = 8$ supergravity [11, 12], as reviewed in [9]. As we already mentioned, the generic non-extremal black hole of the

STU model can be used as a seed for the generic non-extremal black hole of $\mathcal{N}=8$ supergravity [13, 14]. We derived the black hole entropy for the STU model in terms of triality invariants in the previous section. We will now reformulate the black hole entropy in terms of $E_{7(7)}$ invariants by matching individual invariants in $\mathcal{N}=8$ supergravity with their corresponding invariants in the STU U-duality frame. This will give the correct formula for the most general non-extremal black hole of $\mathcal{N}=8$ supergravity.

3.1 Preliminary: the $\mathfrak{e}_{7(7)}$ algebra

Let first us summarize some key features of the $\mathfrak{e}_{7(7)}$ algebra. We will follow the conventions of [19].

We parametrize an element of $\mathfrak{e}_{7(7)}$ by a traceless 8×8 matrix $\Lambda^i{}_j$ and an antisymmetric tensor Σ_{ijkl} (indices go from 1 to 8). It acts in the fundamental representation on a pair of antisymmetric tensors (X^{ij}, X_{ij}) as

$$\begin{aligned}\delta X^{ij} &= \Lambda^i{}_k X^{kj} + \Lambda^j{}_k X^{ik} + \star\Sigma^{ijkl} X_{kl},\\ \delta X_{ij} &= -X_{kj}\Lambda^k{}_i - X_{ik}\Lambda^k{}_j + \Sigma_{ijkl}X^{kl}\end{aligned} \tag{50}$$

where we defined $\star\Sigma^{ijkl} = \frac{1}{4!}\varepsilon^{ijklmnpq}\Sigma_{mnpq}$. (Remark: the two tensors X^{ij} and X_{ij} are different, independent objects.) In matrix form, this is

$$\delta\begin{pmatrix} X^{ij} \\ X_{ij}\end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 2\Lambda^{[i}{}_{[k}\delta^{j]}_{l]} & \star\Sigma^{ijkl} \\ \Sigma_{ijkl} & -2\Lambda^{[i}{}_{[k}\delta^{j]}_{l]}\end{pmatrix}\begin{pmatrix} X^{kl} \\ X_{kl}\end{pmatrix}, \tag{51}$$

and this gives an explicit representation of $\mathfrak{e}_{7(7)}$ by 56×56 matrices. The commutator $[(\Lambda_1,\Sigma_1),(\Lambda_2,\Sigma_2)] = (\Lambda_3,\Sigma_3)$ of two $\mathfrak{e}_{7(7)}$ elements is given by

$$\Lambda_3{}^i{}_j = \Lambda_1{}^i{}_k\Lambda_2{}^k{}_j - \frac{1}{3}\star\Sigma_1^{iklm}\Sigma_{2klmj} - (1\leftrightarrow 2), \tag{52}$$

$$\Sigma_{3ijkl} = 4\Lambda_1{}^m{}_{[i}\Sigma_{2jkl]m} - (1\leftrightarrow 2). \tag{53}$$

Writing the generators as

$$G_a{}^b = (\Lambda_a{}^b, 0), \qquad (\Lambda_a{}^b)^i{}_j = \delta^i_a\delta^b_j - \frac{1}{8}\delta^a_b\delta^i_j, \tag{54}$$

$$G^{abcd} = (0,\Sigma^{abcd}), \qquad (\Sigma^{abcd})_{ijkl} = \delta^{[a}_i\delta^b_j\delta^c_k\delta^{d]}_l, \tag{55}$$

we get the commutation relations

$$[G_a{}^b, G_c{}^d] = \delta^b_c G_a{}^d - \delta^d_a G_c{}^b, \tag{56}$$

$$[G_a{}^b, G^{cdef}] = 4\delta^{[c}_a G^{def]b} + \frac{1}{2}\delta^a_b G^{cdef}, \tag{57}$$

$$[G^{abcd}, G^{efgh}] = \frac{1}{72}\left(G_k{}^{[a}\varepsilon^{bcd]efghk} - G_k{}^{[e}\varepsilon^{fgh]abcdk}\right). \tag{58}$$

Two subalgebras of interest of $\mathfrak{e}_{7(7)}$ are

- The manifest $\mathfrak{sl}(8,\mathbb{R})$ which is generated by $G_a{}^b$ alone;
- The maximal compact subalgebra $\mathfrak{su}(8)$, generated by transformations with $\Lambda^i{}_j = -\Lambda^j{}_i$ and $\Sigma_{ijkl} = -\star\Sigma^{ijkl}$.

The maximal compact subalgebra $\mathfrak{su}(8)$ can be made manifest via the change of basis

$$X_{AB} = \frac{1}{4\sqrt{2}}\left(X^{ij} + iX_{ij}\right)(\Gamma^{ij})_{AB}, \tag{59}$$

where the 8×8 matrices Γ^{ij} are the $\mathfrak{so}(8)$ generators described in appendix B. In this basis, the $\mathfrak{e}_{7(7)}$ transformations are given by

$$\delta X_{AB} = \Lambda_A{}^C X_{CB} + \Lambda_B{}^C X_{AC} + \Sigma_{ABCD}\bar{X}^{CD}, \tag{60}$$

where $\bar{X}^{AB} = (X_{AB})^*$, $\Lambda_A{}^B$ is an element of $\mathfrak{su}(8)$, and Σ_{ABCD} is a complex antisymmetric tensor satisfying the self-duality condition

$$\Sigma_{ABCD} = \frac{1}{24}\varepsilon_{ABCDEFGH}\bar{\Sigma}^{EFGH}, \qquad \bar{\Sigma}^{ABCD} = (\Sigma_{ABCD})^*. \tag{61}$$

The link between these parameters and $(\Lambda^i{}_j, \Sigma_{ijkl})$ is given in [19]. In this basis, the $\mathfrak{su}(8)$ subalgebra is obtained by simply setting $\Sigma_{ABCD} = 0$, whereas the $\mathfrak{sl}(8,\mathbb{R})$ subalgebra is more involved.

3.2 Symplectic and quartic invariants

We can directly identify two invariants:

- the symplectic invariant, which is a quadratic form over two distinct fundamental representations
$$X^T\Omega Y = X^{ij}Y_{ij} - X_{ij}Y^{ij}, \tag{62}$$
where $\Omega = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & I_{28\times 28} \\ -I_{28\times 28} & 0 \end{pmatrix}$;
- the quartic invariant, which is a quartic form over one fundamental representation
$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{I}_4(X) =& X^{ij}X_{jk}X^{kl}X_{li} - \frac{1}{4}(X^{ij}X_{ij})^2 \\ &+ \frac{1}{96}\varepsilon^{ijklmnpq}X_{ij}X_{kl}X_{mn}X_{pq} + \frac{1}{96}\varepsilon_{ijklmnpq}X^{ij}X^{kl}X^{mn}X^{pq}.\end{aligned} \tag{63}$$

Remark: the invariance of the symplectic invariant under $\mathfrak{e}_{7(7)}$ transformations (51) proves the embedding $\mathfrak{e}_{7(7)} \subset \mathfrak{sp}(56, \mathbb{R})$.

Since the transformation laws in the $SL(8, \mathbb{R})$ and in $SU(8)$ bases are formally identical, we can construct invariants in the $SU(8)$ basis by replacing $i, j, \ldots$ indices by $A, B, \ldots$ indices in the previous invariants. Therefore, the two quantities

$$\begin{aligned}\diamondsuit(X) =& \bar{X}^{AB} X_{BC} \bar{X}^{CD} X_{DA} - \frac{1}{4}(\bar{X}^{AB} X_{AB})^2 \\ &+ \frac{1}{96}\varepsilon^{ABCDEFGH} X_{AB} X_{CD} X_{EF} X_{GH} + \frac{1}{96}\varepsilon_{ABCDEFGH} \bar{X}^{AB} \bar{X}^{CD} \bar{X}^{EF} \bar{X}^{GH},\end{aligned} \tag{64}$$

$$(X, Y)_\Omega = \bar{X}^{AB} Y_{AB} - X_{AB} \bar{Y}^{AB} \tag{65}$$

are $E_{7(7)}$-invariant. In fact, the invariants constructed in the two bases are proportional to each other: we have the relations $\diamondsuit(X) = -\mathcal{I}_4(X)$ [20] and $X^T \Omega Y = -i(X, Y)_\Omega$, as we checked.

3.3 Construction of additional invariants

The 56 charges of $\mathcal{N} = 8$ supergravity transform in the fundamental representation of $E_{7(7)}$. Accordingly, we can pack them into the tensor (X_{ij}, X^{ij}), transforming as

$$\delta X = gX \tag{66}$$

under $g \in \mathfrak{e}_{7(7)}$ (we use the 56×56 matrix notation here). The 70 scalar fields parametrize the coset $E_{7(7)}/SU(8)$ in the Borel gauge and are therefore contained in a matrix $\mathcal{V}$ that transforms as

$$\delta \mathcal{V} = k\mathcal{V} - \mathcal{V}g \tag{67}$$

under $(k, g) \in \mathfrak{su}(8)_{\text{local}} \times \mathfrak{e}_{7(7)}$. The local transformation k is the compensator required to keep $\mathcal{V}$ in the Borel gauge. Under the action of the group, these transformations are

$$X \mapsto GX, \tag{68}$$

$$\mathcal{V} \mapsto K\mathcal{V}G^{-1}. \tag{69}$$

where $K \in SU(8)$, $G \in E_{7(7)}$. In particular, the object $\mathcal{V}X$ only transforms under $SU(8)$. From $\mathcal{V}$, we define the usual matrix

$$\mathcal{M} = \mathcal{V}^T \mathcal{V}, \tag{70}$$

which transforms as

$$\mathcal{M} \mapsto (G^{-1})^T \mathcal{M} G^{-1}. \tag{71}$$

Again, from the asymptotic expansion

$$\mathcal{M} = \mathcal{M}^{(0)} + \frac{\mathcal{M}^{(1)}}{r} + \mathcal{O}\left(\frac{1}{r^2}\right), \tag{72}$$

we define the dressed charge matrix

$$\mathcal{R} = (\mathcal{M}^{(0)})^{-1}\mathcal{M}^{(1)} \tag{73}$$

that transforms in the adjoint representation of $E_{7(7)}$,

$$\mathcal{R} \mapsto G\mathcal{R}G^{-1}. \tag{74}$$

In building invariants, we will also make use of Ω, which has the property

$$G^T\Omega G = \Omega \tag{75}$$

for any G in $E_{7(7)} \subset Sp(56, \mathbb{R})$.

We can now construct several additional invariants:

- Since both X and $\mathcal{R}X$ transform in the fundamental, we can make the following invariants of order two and three:

$$X^T\mathcal{M}^{(0)}X, \quad X^T\mathcal{M}^{(0)}\mathcal{R}X, \quad X^T\Omega\mathcal{R}X. \tag{76}$$

- As noted above, $\mathcal{V}X$ transforms only under $SU(8)$. Switching to the $SU(8)$ notation (with indices $A, B, \dots$), we can make invariants simply by contracting indices, e.g.

$$T_2 = (\mathcal{V}X)_{AB}\overline{(\mathcal{V}X)}^{BA}, \tag{77}$$

$$T_4 = (\mathcal{V}X)_{AB}\overline{(\mathcal{V}X)}^{BC}(\mathcal{V}X)_{CD}\overline{(\mathcal{V}X)}^{DA} \tag{78}$$

  where as before $\overline{(\mathcal{V}X)}^{AB} = ((\mathcal{V}X)_{AB})^*$. Higher order invariants can also be constructed in the same fashion, but we will not need them here. We have the relation

$$T_2 = -X^T\mathcal{M}^{(0)}X, \tag{79}$$

  so we discard T_2 from our list of invariants.

- Since $\mathcal{R}$ transforms in the adjoint, all traces

$$\mathrm{Tr}(\mathcal{R}^k) \tag{80}$$

  of powers of $\mathcal{R}$ are invariant. These invariants are not all independent; in fact, those with odd k vanish identically. We checked that $\mathrm{Tr}\mathcal{R}^2$, $\mathrm{Tr}\mathcal{R}^6$ and $\mathrm{Tr}\mathcal{R}^8$ are independent, while for $\mathrm{Tr}\mathcal{R}^4$ we have the relation

$$\mathrm{Tr}\mathcal{R}^4 = \frac{1}{24}(\mathrm{Tr}\mathcal{R}^2)^2. \tag{81}$$

In fact, it is known by mathematicians [15–17] that the only independent ones are those with

$$k = 2, 6, 8, 10, 12, 14 \text{ and } 18. \tag{82}$$

This will introduce a subtlety in identifying the $E_{7(7)}$ generalization of the triality invariant $\mathrm{Tr}(R^4) = 96\Delta_3$ (45): it will be a non-polynomial expression in $\mathrm{Tr}\mathcal{R}^2$, $\mathrm{Tr}\mathcal{R}^6$ and $\mathrm{Tr}\mathcal{R}^8$ as we will describe below.

3.4 Embedding of the STU model

Using the information given in [9] as well as the explicit formulae for dimensional reduction given in [21], we can get the explicit embedding of the STU model in $\mathcal{N} = 8$ supergravity.

In the paper [21], another parametrization of the Borel subalgebra of $\mathfrak{e}_{7(7)}$ is used. The link with our notation is

$$E_i{}^j = G_i{}^j, \quad E^{ijk} = -12G^{ijk8}, \quad D_i = G_i{}^8, \quad \vec{H} = \sum_{j=1}^{7} \left(-\vec{f}_j + \vec{g}\right) G_j{}^j, \tag{83}$$

where the 7-component vectors $\vec{f}_j$ and $\vec{g}$ are given by

$$\vec{f}_j = (\underbrace{0, \ldots, 0}_{j-1}, (10-j)s_j, s_{j+1}, \ldots, s_7), \tag{84}$$

$$\vec{g} = 3(s_1, s_2, \ldots, s_7), \tag{85}$$

$$s_i = \sqrt{\frac{2}{(10-i)(9-i)}}. \tag{86}$$

It can be checked using (56) that these identifications correctly reproduce the commutation relations of [21].

In this notation, the embedding is the following.

- The electromagnetic charges are given by

$$\begin{aligned}(X^{12}, X^{34}, X^{56}, X^{78}) &= (Q_1, Q_2, Q_3, -Q_4),\\ (X_{12}, X_{34}, X_{56}, X_{78}) &= (P^1, P^2, P^3, -P^4),\end{aligned} \tag{87}$$

  the other X^{ij}, X_{ij} being zero.

- The coset matrix $\mathcal{V}$ is

$$\mathcal{V} = \exp\left[\frac{1}{2}\sum_{i=1}^{3} \varphi_i \vec{v}_i \cdot \vec{H}\right] \exp\left[-\chi_1 E^{127} - \chi_2 E^{347} - \chi_3 E^{567}\right], \tag{88}$$

where

$$\vec{v}_1 = \left(\frac{1}{2}, \frac{3}{2\sqrt{7}}, -\frac{1}{2\sqrt{21}}, -\frac{1}{2\sqrt{15}}, -\frac{1}{2\sqrt{10}}, -\frac{1}{2\sqrt{6}}, \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}}\right),$$
$$\vec{v}_2 = \left(-\frac{1}{4}, -\frac{3}{4\sqrt{7}}, \frac{2}{\sqrt{21}}, \frac{2}{\sqrt{15}}, -\frac{1}{2\sqrt{10}}, -\frac{1}{2\sqrt{6}}, \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}}\right),$$
$$\vec{v}_3 = \left(-\frac{1}{4}, -\frac{3}{4\sqrt{7}}, -\frac{\sqrt{3}}{2\sqrt{7}}, -\frac{\sqrt{3}}{2\sqrt{5}}, \frac{1}{\sqrt{10}}, \frac{1}{\sqrt{6}}, \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}}\right), \tag{89}$$

and three orthonormal vectors and we used the identification (83) to get the explicit form of $\mathcal{V}$ as a 56×56 matrix.

We can now compare our $E_{7(7)}$ invariants computed in the STU truncation with those of the previous sections. We find

- Order two:

$$L_1 = X^T \mathcal{M}^{(0)} X, \tag{90}$$
$$L_2 = \frac{1}{36}\mathrm{Tr}(\mathcal{R}^2). \tag{91}$$

- Order three:

$$C_1 = \frac{1}{3} X^T \Omega \mathcal{R} X, \tag{92}$$
$$C_2 = \frac{1}{3} X^T \mathcal{M}^{(0)} \mathcal{R} X. \tag{93}$$

- Order four:

$$\Delta = -\frac{1}{16}\mathcal{I}_4(X), \tag{94}$$
$$\Delta_2 = \frac{1}{96}\left(8T_4 + 6\mathcal{I}_4 - (X^T \mathcal{M}^{(0)} X)^2\right), \tag{95}$$
$$\begin{aligned} 0 = {} & 2^{17}3^7 5(\Delta_3)^2 - 2^8 3^3 5 \Delta_3 (\mathrm{Tr}(\mathcal{R}^2))^2 - 5(\mathrm{Tr}(\mathcal{R}^2))^4 \\ & + 2^5 3^2 11 \mathrm{Tr}(\mathcal{R}^2)\mathrm{Tr}(\mathcal{R}^6) - 2^6 3^5 \mathrm{Tr}(\mathcal{R}^8). \end{aligned} \tag{96}$$

As announced, we find a non-polynomial expression for Δ_3,

$$\Delta_3 = \frac{1}{2^{10}3^4 5}\left[5\mathrm{Tr}(\mathcal{R}^2)^2 + \sqrt{5}\sqrt{5^3\mathrm{Tr}(\mathcal{R}^2)^4 - 2^8 3^3 11 \mathrm{Tr}(\mathcal{R}^2)\mathrm{Tr}(\mathcal{R}^6) + 2^9 3^6 \mathrm{Tr}(\mathcal{R}^8)}\right]. \tag{97}$$

We checked that only this root of (96) correctly reproduces $\mathrm{Tr}(R^4)$.

3.5 The F-invariant

Using formula (49) and the dictionary above, we find the following formula for the F-invariant in terms of $E_{7(7)}$ invariants:

$$\begin{aligned} F =& M^4 + M^2N^2 - \frac{M^2}{4}X^T\mathcal{M}^{(0)}X + \frac{N^2}{288}\mathrm{Tr}(\mathcal{R}^2) + \frac{M}{24}X^T\mathcal{M}^{(0)}\mathcal{R}X - \frac{N}{24}X^T\Omega\mathcal{R}X \\ &+ \frac{1}{16}\mathcal{I}_4(X) + \frac{1}{24}T_4 - \frac{1}{192}(X^T\mathcal{M}^{(0)}X)^2 - \frac{1}{2^{10}3^4}\mathrm{Tr}(\mathcal{R}^2)^2 \\ &+ \frac{5}{2^{11}3^4}\sqrt{\mathrm{Tr}(\mathcal{R}^2)^4 - (2^83^35^{-3}11)\mathrm{Tr}(\mathcal{R}^2)\mathrm{Tr}(\mathcal{R}^6) + (2^93^65^{-3})\mathrm{Tr}(\mathcal{R}^8)}. \end{aligned} \tag{98}$$

As was emphasized before, the entropy of the STU black hole will not change under $E_{7(7)}$ dualities (since the four-dimensional metric is invariant) and the STU black hole is a seed for the generic $\mathcal{N} = 8$ black hole. Therefore, although (98) was derived in the STU truncation, it is the correct formula for the most general non-extremal black hole of $\mathcal{N} = 8$ supergravity. The entropy of such a black hole takes the form (1), where the quartic invariant Δ is given by (94) and (63) and the F-invariant is given by (98)[4].

4 $\mathcal{N} = 2$ supergravities and dualities

Let us now turn to the formalism of ungauged four-dimensional $\mathcal{N} = 2$ supergravity coupled to n_v gauge multiplets without hypermultiplets. The theory admits n_v complex scalars z_i, $i = 1, \ldots, n_v$, which define a special Kälher manifold. The special geometry of the scalar manifold is naturally encoded in $U(1) \times Sp(2n_v + 2, \mathbb{R})$ symplectic sections

$$\mathcal{V} = \left(X^\Lambda, F_\Lambda\right), \qquad \Lambda = 0, \ldots, n_v, \tag{99}$$

where $F(X)$ is the prepotential (a homogeneous meromorphic function of degree 2) and $F_\Lambda \equiv \frac{\partial}{\partial X^\Lambda}F$. We are mainly interested in cubic prepotentials which have the form

$$F = -\frac{1}{6}C_{ijk}\frac{X^iX^jX^k}{X^0} \equiv -\frac{N_3[X]}{X^0} \tag{100}$$

where we introduced the cubic norm $N_3[X]$. Here C_{ijk} is completely symmetric. The $n_V + 1$ complex fields are constrained by $\mathrm{Im}F_{\Lambda M}X^\Lambda\bar{X}^M = -1$ where $F_{\Lambda M} = \partial_{X^\Lambda}\partial_{X^M}F$. The resolution of the constraints determines the scalar fields z_i in a fixed $U(1)$ gauge. The Kähler potential is then defined up to an arbitrary holomorphic function as $\mathcal{K} = -\log(-iN[z - \bar{z}])$. The STU model admits $n_v = 3$ gauge multiplets and the prepotential $F(X) = -X^1X^2X^3/X^0$. The scalar fields are then defined as $z^i = X^i/X^0$. In the following, we will keep the cubic prepotential arbitary. We will also comment at the end of the section on the F-invariant expression for quadratic prepotentials.

[4]Our final formula differs from [10] as discussed in the summary.

4.1 Covariant tensors

In $\mathcal{N}=2$ formalism, the charges belong to a symplectic vector

$$\Gamma = \begin{pmatrix} \widetilde{P}_\Lambda \\ \widetilde{Q}^\Lambda \end{pmatrix}, \tag{101}$$

with $\Lambda = 0, \dots n_v$. The charge vector transforms under an element $g \in Sp(2n_v+2, \mathbb{R})$ as

$$\Gamma \mapsto g\Gamma \tag{102}$$

where

$$g^T \Omega g = \Omega, \qquad \Omega = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & I_{(n_v+1)\times(n_v+1)} \\ -I_{(n_v+1)\times(n_v+1)} & 0 \end{pmatrix}. \tag{103}$$

For the case of the STU model and in the notations of the previous section, the tilded charges are related to the untilded charges by the relations [9]

$$\widetilde{P}_0 = P^4, \quad \widetilde{P}_i = -Q_i, \quad \widetilde{Q}^0 = Q_4, \quad \widetilde{Q}^i = P^i \qquad (i=1,2,3). \tag{104}$$

These relations are also consistent with (32). The transformation (5) of the charges defines a matrix $g \in Sp(8,\mathbb{R})$, which realizes the group embedding $SL(2,\mathbb{R})^3 \subset Sp(8,\mathbb{R})$.

The standard definitions of central charge and Kähler metric are

$$Z[z,\bar{z},\widetilde{P},\widetilde{Q}] = e^{\frac{\mathcal{K}}{2}} \mathcal{V}\,\Omega\,\Gamma, \qquad g_{i\bar{j}}[z,\bar{z}] = \partial_i \partial_{\bar{j}} \mathcal{K}(z,\bar{z}). \tag{105}$$

We also define the Kälher derivative of the central charge as $Z_i = D_i Z \equiv (\partial_{z_i} + \frac{1}{2}\partial_{z_i}\mathcal{K})Z$. We can already define the following invariants [22]:

$$i_1 = Z\bar{Z}, \qquad i_2 = g^{i\bar{j}} Z_i \bar{Z}_{\bar{j}}, \tag{106}$$

$$i_3 = \mathrm{Re}(Z N_3[\bar{Z}]), \qquad i_4 = -\mathrm{Im}(Z N_3[\bar{Z}]), \tag{107}$$

$$i_5 = g^{i\bar{i}} C_{ijk} C_{\bar{i}\bar{j}\bar{k}} Z^j Z^k \bar{Z}^{\bar{j}} \bar{Z}^{\bar{k}}. \tag{108}$$

The last three invariants are only defined for cubic prepotentials while the first two are defined for arbitrary prepotentials. The quartic invariant is given by

$$16\Delta = (i_1 - i_2)^2 + 4i_4 - i_5. \tag{109}$$

It is independent of the scalar fields. At leading order in the radial asymptotic expansion, the scalar fields take their moduli values and therefore i_1, i_2 and i_3, i_4 at radial infinity, which we denote as i_1^∞, i_2^∞ and i_3^∞, i_4^∞ provide independent invariants.

Let us now define other invariants. In general, the period matrix is defined from the scalars as

$$\mathcal{N}_{\Lambda M} = \bar{F}_{\Lambda M} + 2i \frac{F_{\Lambda N} X^N F_{M\Xi} X^\Xi}{X^O F_{O\Pi} X^\Pi} \tag{110}$$

where $F_{\Lambda M} = \partial_{X^\Lambda}\partial_{X^M} F$. Then, the $2(n_v+1)\times 2(n_v+1)$ matrix

$$\widehat{\mathcal{N}} = \begin{pmatrix} \mathrm{Im}\,\mathcal{N} + \mathrm{Re}\,\mathcal{N}(\mathrm{Im}\,\mathcal{N})^{-1}\mathrm{Re}\,\mathcal{N} & -\mathrm{Re}\,\mathcal{N}(\mathrm{Im}\,\mathcal{N})^{-1} \\ -(\mathrm{Im}\,\mathcal{N})^{-1}\mathrm{Re}\,\mathcal{N} & (\mathrm{Im}\,\mathcal{N})^{-1} \end{pmatrix} \tag{111}$$

transforms as

$$\widehat{\mathcal{N}} \mapsto (g^{-1})^T \widehat{\mathcal{N}} g^{-1}. \tag{112}$$

In the example of the STU model, we find the following 4×4 complex matrix after fixing the $U(1)$ gauge to $X^0 = 1$,

$$\mathcal{N} = \begin{pmatrix} -2x_1x_2x_3 - \mathrm{i}y_1y_2y_3\big(1+\sum_{i=1}^3 \frac{x_i^2}{y_i^2}\big) & x_2x_3 + \mathrm{i}\frac{x_1y_2y_3}{y_1} & x_1x_3 + \mathrm{i}\frac{x_2y_1y_3}{y_2} & x_1x_2 + \mathrm{i}\frac{x_3y_1y_2}{y_3} \\ x_2x_3 + \mathrm{i}\frac{x_1y_2y_3}{y_1} & -\mathrm{i}\frac{y_2y_3}{y_1} & -x_3 & -x_2 \\ x_1x_3 + \mathrm{i}\frac{x_2y_1y_3}{y_2} & -x_3 & -\mathrm{i}\frac{y_1y_3}{y_2} & -x_1 \\ x_1x_2 + \mathrm{i}\frac{x_3y_1y_2}{y_3} & -x_2 & -x_1 & -\mathrm{i}\frac{y_1y_2}{y_3} \end{pmatrix}. \tag{113}$$

We assume the radial asymptotic expansion

$$\widehat{\mathcal{N}} = \widehat{\mathcal{N}}^{(0)} + \frac{\widehat{\mathcal{N}}^{(1)}}{r} + \mathcal{O}\left(\frac{1}{r^2}\right), \tag{114}$$

which is clearly correct for the STU model. It is then natural to define the matrix

$$\widehat{R} = (\widehat{\mathcal{N}}^{(0)})^{-1}\widehat{\mathcal{N}}^{(1)}, \tag{115}$$

that transforms as

$$\widehat{R} \mapsto g\widehat{R}g^{-1}. \tag{116}$$

One usually defines the $2(n_v+1)\times 2(n_v+1)$ matrix

$$\widehat{\mathcal{F}} = \begin{pmatrix} \mathrm{Im}\,\mathcal{F} + \mathrm{Re}\,\mathcal{F}(\mathrm{Im}\,\mathcal{F})^{-1}\mathrm{Re}\,\mathcal{F} & -\mathrm{Re}\,\mathcal{F}(\mathrm{Im}\,\mathcal{F})^{-1} \\ -(\mathrm{Im}\,\mathcal{F})^{-1}\mathrm{Re}\,\mathcal{F} & (\mathrm{Im}\,\mathcal{F})^{-1} \end{pmatrix}, \tag{117}$$

where $\mathcal{F} = (F_{\Lambda M})$. It also transforms as

$$\widehat{\mathcal{F}} \mapsto (g^{-1})^T \widehat{\mathcal{F}} g^{-1}. \tag{118}$$

We will use this quantity in the case of quadratic prepotentials.

4.2 Construction of invariants

The list of relevant invariants for generic cubic $\mathcal{N}=2$ supergravities is given by

- Degree 1:

$$M,\ N. \tag{119}$$

- Degree 2:

$$\Gamma^T \widehat{\mathcal{N}}^{(0)} \Gamma = 2(i_1^\infty + i_2^\infty), \qquad \mathrm{Tr}(\widehat{R}^2). \tag{120}$$

- Degree 3:

$$\Gamma^T \Omega \widehat{R} \Gamma, \qquad \Gamma^T \widehat{\mathcal{N}}^{(0)} \widehat{R} \Gamma. \tag{121}$$

- Degree 4:

$$\Delta, \qquad i_4^\infty, \qquad \mathrm{Tr}(\widehat{R}^4). \tag{122}$$

In the case of the STU model, it is straightforward to check that these invariants relate to the ones defined in Section 2.2 as

- Degree 2:

$$L_1 = -\Gamma^T \widehat{\mathcal{N}}^{(0)} \Gamma = -2(i_1^\infty + i_2^\infty), \tag{123}$$

$$L_2 = \frac{1}{12} \mathrm{Tr}(\widehat{R}^2). \tag{124}$$

- Degree 3:

$$C_1 = \frac{1}{3} \Gamma^T \Omega \widehat{R} \Gamma, \tag{125}$$

$$C_2 = \frac{1}{3} \Gamma^T \widehat{\mathcal{N}}^{(0)} \widehat{R} \Gamma. \tag{126}$$

- Degree 4:

$$\Delta_2 = \frac{1}{3}(\Delta - i_4^\infty) + \frac{1}{96}(\Gamma^T \widehat{\mathcal{N}}^{(0)} \Gamma)^2, \tag{127}$$

$$32\Delta_3 = -\frac{1}{24} \mathrm{Tr}(\widehat{R}^4) + \frac{1}{64} \mathrm{Tr}(\widehat{R}^2)^2. \tag{128}$$

4.3 The F-invariant

Given the dictionary above, one can reexpress the formula for the F-invariant given in (49) in terms of invariants specific to $\mathcal{N} = 2$ supergravities with cubic prepotential as follows

$$\begin{aligned} F =& M^4 + M^2 N^2 + \frac{1}{4} M^2 \Gamma^T \widehat{\mathcal{N}}^{(0)} \Gamma + \frac{1}{96} N^2 \mathrm{Tr}(\widehat{R}^2) + \frac{1}{24} M \Gamma^T \widehat{\mathcal{N}}^{(0)} \widehat{R} \Gamma - \frac{1}{24} N \Gamma^T \Omega \widehat{R} \Gamma \\ & - \frac{1}{192} \left(64\Delta + 32 i_4^\infty + \frac{1}{8} \mathrm{Tr}(\widehat{R}^4) - \left(\Gamma^T \widehat{\mathcal{N}}^{(0)} \Gamma \right)^2 - \frac{1}{64} \mathrm{Tr}(\widehat{R}^2)^2 \right). \end{aligned} \tag{129}$$

Since this formula makes sense for arbitrary cubic prepotential, it is a natural ansatz for the F-invariant in the generic case. However, this would need to be checked with explicit non-extremal black hole solutions different than STU black holes, see e.g. [23].

Let us finally comment on quadratic prepotentials. We will only discuss the case of the $\overline{\mathbb{CP}}^n$ model with prepotential

$$F = -\frac{i}{4}\eta_{\Lambda\Sigma}X^\Lambda X^\Sigma, \qquad \eta_{\Lambda\Sigma} = \mathrm{diag}(+ - \cdots -). \tag{130}$$

A general class of non-extremal dyonic rotating black holes with moduli without NUT charge for this model was found in [24]. It was noted there that the black hole entropy S_+ and the fake "inner horizon entropy" S_-, defined as the area of the inner horizon divided by $4G$, can be written as

$$S_\pm = \pi\left(\sqrt{\hat{N}_R} \pm \sqrt{\hat{N}_L}\right)^2, \qquad \hat{N}_{R,L} = M^2 - \frac{1}{2}(|Z_\infty|^2 + |D_iZ_\infty|^2) \pm \sqrt{\widehat{\Delta} + J^2} \tag{131}$$

where $\widehat{\Delta} = \frac{1}{4}(|Z_\infty|^2 - |D_iZ_\infty|^2)^2$ is a square of quadratic invariants and J the angular momentum. Here, one might substitute $|Z_\infty|^2 + |D_iZ_\infty|^2 = i_1^\infty + i_2^\infty = \frac{1}{2}\Gamma^T\widehat{\mathcal{N}}^{(0)}\Gamma$ and $\widehat{\Delta} = \frac{1}{4}(i_1^\infty - i_2^\infty)^2$ using (106) and (123). We also observe that $\widehat{\Delta} = \frac{1}{16}(\Gamma^T\widehat{\mathcal{F}}^{(0)}\Gamma)^2$ where $\widehat{\mathcal{F}}^{(0)}$ is defined as the leading term in the radial expansion of $\widehat{\mathcal{F}}$ defined in (117). Now we simply note that these formulas can also be written in the Cardy form

$$S_\pm = 2\pi\left(\sqrt{N_L} \pm \sqrt{N_R}\right), \qquad N_L = F + \widehat{\Delta}, \qquad N_R = F - J^2, \tag{132}$$

where the F-invariant takes the manifestly duality invariant form

$$F = \left(M^2 - \frac{1}{4}\Gamma^T\widehat{\mathcal{N}}^{(0)}\Gamma\right)^2 - \widehat{\Delta}. \tag{133}$$

It is natural to expect that other quadratic models will admit the same entropy formula.

A General non-extremal black hole with moduli

Non-trivial scalar moduli at infinity are generated by the $SL(2,\mathbb{R})^3$ transformations

$$S_i = \begin{pmatrix} e^{-\varphi_i^\infty/2} & \chi_i^\infty e^{\varphi_i^\infty/2} \\ 0 & e^{\varphi_i^\infty/2} \end{pmatrix}, \tag{134}$$

which act on the scalars as

$$\varphi_i \mapsto \varphi_i + \varphi_i^\infty, \qquad \chi_i \mapsto \chi_i e^{-\varphi_i^\infty} + \chi_i^\infty. \tag{135}$$

The action on the charges is given by

$$\begin{pmatrix} P^I \\ -Q_I \end{pmatrix} \mapsto \mathbb{S} \begin{pmatrix} P^I \\ -Q_I \end{pmatrix}, \tag{136}$$

where $\mathbb{S}$ is the moduli-dependent 8×8 symplectic matrix $\mathbb{S} = e^{-(\varphi_1^\infty + \varphi_2^\infty + \varphi_3^\infty)/2}\mathbb{T}$,

$$\mathbb{T} = \begin{pmatrix} e^{\varphi_1^\infty} & 0 & 0 & -\lambda_2\lambda_3 e^{\varphi_1^\infty} & 0 & -\lambda_3 e^{\varphi_1^\infty} & -\lambda_2 e^{\varphi_1^\infty} & 0 \\ 0 & e^{\varphi_2^\infty} & 0 & -\lambda_1\lambda_3 e^{\varphi_2^\infty} & -\lambda_3 e^{\varphi_2^\infty} & 0 & -\lambda_1 e^{\varphi_2^\infty} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & e^{\varphi_3^\infty} & -\lambda_1\lambda_2 e^{\varphi_3^\infty} & -\lambda_2 e^{\varphi_3^\infty} & -\lambda_3 e^{\varphi_3^\infty} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & e^{\varphi_1^\infty+\varphi_2^\infty+\varphi_3^\infty} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \lambda_1 e^{\varphi_2^\infty+\varphi_3^\infty} & e^{\varphi_2^\infty+\varphi_3^\infty} & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \lambda_2 e^{\varphi_1^\infty+\varphi_3^\infty} & 0 & e^{\varphi_1^\infty+\varphi_3^\infty} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \lambda_3 e^{\varphi_1^\infty+\varphi_2^\infty} & 0 & 0 & e^{\varphi_1^\infty+\varphi_2^\infty} & 0 \\ \lambda_1 & \lambda_2 & \lambda_3 & -\lambda_1\lambda_2\lambda_3 & -\lambda_2\lambda_3 & -\lambda_1\lambda_3 & -\lambda_1\lambda_2 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \tag{137}$$

and $\lambda_i = \chi_i^\infty e^{\varphi_i^\infty}$.

This can be used to generalize the non-extremal black hole solution of [9] to non-trivial scalar moduli at infinity. Defining the barred quantities to be those of [9], the generalized solution is[5]

$$ds^2 = \overline{ds^2}, \tag{138}$$

$$\varphi_i = \overline{\varphi_i} + \varphi_i^\infty, \tag{139}$$

$$\chi_i = e^{-\varphi_i^\infty}\overline{\chi_i} + \chi_i^\infty, \tag{140}$$

$$\begin{pmatrix} A^I \\ \widetilde{A}_I \end{pmatrix} = \mathbb{S} \begin{pmatrix} \overline{A}^I \\ \overline{\widetilde{A}}_I \end{pmatrix}. \tag{141}$$

It depends upon 17 parameters: the mass parameter m, the NUT parameter n, the rotation parameter a, the 8 charging parameters δ_I and γ_I, and the 6 moduli parameters φ_i^∞ and χ_i^∞. These parameters can in principle be traded for the physical charges, but it is algebraically very complicated in general.

[5] In the $\mathfrak{so}(4,4)$ formalism of [9], this corresponds to acting on the 3d coset matrix of the solution with trivial moduli with

$$g_{\rm mod} = \exp\left(\frac{1}{2}\varphi_1^\infty H_1 + \frac{1}{2}\varphi_2^\infty H_2 + \frac{1}{2}\varphi_3^\infty H_3\right) \exp\left(-\chi_1^\infty E_1 - \chi_2^\infty E_2 - \chi_3^\infty E_3\right),$$

where H_i, E_i are the $\mathfrak{so}(4,4)$ generators defined in [9].

B $\mathfrak{so}(8)$ generators Γ^{ij}

The matrices Γ^{ij} $(i,j=1,\ldots,8)$ that we used to change basis from $\mathfrak{sl}(8)$ to $\mathfrak{su}(8)$ in the $\mathfrak{e}_{7(7)}$ algebra are given by

$$\begin{aligned}\Gamma^{ij} &= \gamma^{[i}\gamma^{j]},\\ \Gamma^{i8} &= -\gamma^{i} \qquad (\Gamma^{8i}=\gamma^{i}),\end{aligned} \tag{142}$$

$(i,j=1,\ldots,7)$, and the γ^i are $\mathfrak{so}(7)$ gamma matrices satisfying

$$\{\gamma^i,\gamma^j\} = -2\delta^{ij}I_{8\times 8}. \tag{143}$$

It follows from this definition and the Clifford algebra of the γ^i that the $\Sigma^{ij}=-\frac{1}{2}\Gamma^{ij}$ satisfy the $\mathfrak{so}(8)$ commutation relations

$$\left[\Sigma^{ij},\Sigma^{kl}\right] = \delta^{il}\Sigma^{jk}+\delta^{jk}\Sigma^{il}-\delta^{ik}\Sigma^{jl}-\delta^{jl}\Sigma^{ik}. \tag{144}$$

For explicit computations, we used the real antisymmetric representation

$$\begin{aligned}\gamma^1 &= -i\sigma_3\otimes\sigma_2\otimes\sigma_1,\\ \gamma^2 &= i\sigma_3\otimes\sigma_2\otimes\sigma_3,\\ \gamma^3 &= -i\sigma_3\otimes I_{2\times 2}\otimes\sigma_2,\\ \gamma^4 &= -i\sigma_1\otimes\sigma_1\otimes\sigma_2,\\ \gamma^5 &= -i\sigma_1\otimes\sigma_2\otimes I_{2\times 2},\\ \gamma^6 &= i\sigma_1\otimes\sigma_3\otimes\sigma_2,\\ \gamma^7 &= i\sigma_2\otimes I_{2\times 2}\otimes I_{2\times 2}\end{aligned} \tag{145}$$

where the σ_i are the standard Pauli matrices.

Acknowledgments

The authors would like to thank M. Henneaux for useful conversations and D. Chow, M. Esole and G. Sárosi for an interesting correspondence. G.C. is Research Associate of the Fonds de la Recherche Scientifique F.R.S.-FNRS (Belgium) and acknowledges the current support of the ERC Starting Grant 335146 "HoloBHC". V.L. is Research Fellow of the Fonds de la Recherche Scientifique F.R.S.-FNRS (Belgium) and was partially funded by the ERC through the SyDuGraM Advanced Grant. This work is also partially supported by FNRS-Belgium (convention IISN 4.4503.15).

References

[1] A. Strominger and C. Vafa, "Microscopic origin of the Bekenstein-Hawking entropy," *Phys. Lett. B* **379** (1996) 99–104, hep-th/9601029.

[2] J. M. Maldacena, A. Strominger, and E. Witten, "Black hole entropy in M theory," *JHEP* **9712** (1997) 002, hep-th/9711053.

[3] N. Arkani-Hamed, F. Cachazo, and J. Kaplan, "What is the Simplest Quantum Field Theory?," *JHEP* **09** (2010) 016, 0808.1446.

[4] E. Cremmer and B. Julia, "The N=8 Supergravity Theory. 1. The Lagrangian," *Phys. Lett.* **B80** (1978) 48.

[5] Z. Bern, J. J. Carrasco, L. J. Dixon, H. Johansson, and R. Roiban, "The Ultraviolet Behavior of N=8 Supergravity at Four Loops," *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **103** (2009) 081301, 0905.2326.

[6] L. Susskind, "Some speculations about black hole entropy in string theory," hep-th/9309145.

[7] G. T. Horowitz and J. Polchinski, "A Correspondence principle for black holes and strings," *Phys. Rev.* **D55** (1997) 6189–6197, hep-th/9612146.

[8] D. D. K. Chow and G. Compre, "Seed for general rotating non-extremal black holes of $\mathcal{N} = 8$ supergravity," *Class. Quant. Grav.* **31** (2014) 022001, 1310.1925.

[9] D. D. K. Chow and G. Compre, "Black holes in N=8 supergravity from SO(4,4) hidden symmetries," *Phys. Rev.* **D90** (2014), no. 2, 025029, 1404.2602.

[10] G. Srosi, "Entropy of non-extremal STU black holes: the F-invariant unveiled," 1508.06667. v2.

[11] E. Cremmer, C. Kounnas, A. Van Proeyen, J. P. Derendinger, S. Ferrara, B. de Wit, and L. Girardello, "Vector Multiplets Coupled to N=2 Supergravity: SuperHiggs Effect, Flat Potentials and Geometric Structure," *Nucl. Phys.* **B250** (1985) 385.

[12] M. J. Duff, J. T. Liu, and J. Rahmfeld, "Four-dimensional string-string-string triality," *Nucl. Phys.* **B459** (1996) 125–159, hep-th/9508094.

[13] A. Sen, "Black hole solutions in heterotic string theory on a torus," *Nucl. Phys.* **B440** (1995) 421–440, hep-th/9411187.

[14] M. Cvetic and C. M. Hull, "Black holes and U duality," *Nucl. Phys.* **B480** (1996) 296–316, hep-th/9606193.

[15] C. Y. Lee, "Invariant polynomials of Weyl groups and applications to the centres of universal enveloping algebras," *Canadian Journal of Mathematics* **26** (1974) 583–592.

[16] F. Berdjis, "A criterion for completeness of Casimir operators," *Journal of Mathematical Physics* **22** (Sept., 1981) 1851–1856.

[17] F. Berdjis and E. Beslmüller, "Casimir operators for F_4, E_6, E_7, and E_8," *Journal of Mathematical Physics* **22** (Sept., 1981) 1857–1860.

[18] M. Cvetic and D. Youm, "Entropy of nonextreme charged rotating black holes in string theory," *Phys. Rev.* **D54** (1996) 2612–2620, `hep-th/9603147`.

[19] E. Cremmer and B. Julia, "The SO(8) Supergravity," *Nucl. Phys.* **B159** (1979) 141.

[20] M. Gunaydin, K. Koepsell, and H. Nicolai, "Conformal and quasiconformal realizations of exceptional Lie groups," *Commun. Math. Phys.* **221** (2001) 57–76, `hep-th/0008063`.

[21] E. Cremmer, B. Julia, H. Lu, and C. N. Pope, "Dualization of dualities. 1.," *Nucl. Phys.* **B523** (1998) 73–144, `hep-th/9710119`.

[22] A. Ceresole, G. Dall'Agata, S. Ferrara, and A. Yeranyan, "First order flows for N=2 extremal black holes and duality invariants," *Nucl. Phys.* **B824** (2010) 239–253, `0908.1110`.

[23] D. Kastor and K. Z. Win, "Nonextreme Calabi-Yau black holes," *Phys. Lett.* **B411** (1997) 33–38, `hep-th/9705090`.

[24] P. Galli, T. Ortin, J. Perz, and C. S. Shahbazi, "Non-extremal black holes of N=2, d=4 supergravity," *JHEP* **07** (2011) 041, `1105.3311`.